

Biofuels and Water: Lessons from California

Kevin Fingerman UC Berkeley – Energy & Resources Group Roundtable on Sustainable Biofuels kfingerman@berkeley.edu

**Bioenergy Australia** November 25<sup>th</sup>, 2011

# Sneak preview

- Some water intensity figures
- Why they're wrong (or at least incomplete)
- What questions should we be asking? How?
  California case study
- What else should we address?

### Transportation energy water use



# Water resources in the biofuel life cycle



### Fuel embedded water



Fingerman et al, 2010

# Tools in use

- "Water footprint" and water LCA
- Footprint value is attractive, but is of limited utility
  - Spatial heterogeneity in use and impact
  - Does not adequately capture impacts
- LCA for greenhouse gases is "easy"
  - Impact is global wherever emission occurs
  - Global Warming Intensity can be used to normalize across emission types
  - We can't make these simplifying assumptions for water...

### **Spatial Problem**

Ethanol Water Footprint (L per L ethanol)



# Evaluating the resource base

- Can't always do *comprehensive* analysis, but need to identify risks and opportunities.
- There are several tools. I have picked strengths from each and have added new elements.
- "Water Stress Indicator" (Smakhtin)
  - Start with "Water use per Resource"
  - Account for environmental flows
- Incorporate effective precipitation (green water)
- Account for rainfall variation (Pfister)
- Account for the non-linearity of stress effects

### Use vs. Stress



### Use vs. Stress



### Impact Assessment

#### Ethanol Water Footprint (L per L ethanol)



Water consumption (ET) for "low-yield biomass" cellulosic ethanol - Fingerman et al. 2010

### Impact Assessment

#### Ethanol Water Footprint (L per L ethanol)



Ethanol Water Footprint (stress-weighted)



Water consumption (ET) for "low-yield biomass" cellulosic ethanol - Fingerman et al. 2010

# Impacts beyond LCA

- Individual projects vs. cumulative effect
- Impact on key habitats such as aquiferrecharge zones, wetlands, and floodplains
- Acute local ecological toxicity, eutrophication, or health effects even from small pollution flows
- Indirect "Water Use Change"
- Water shortage for humans often due to social realities
- Ability to adapt



# Thank you!

#### Acknowledgements:

- Daniel Kammen
- Margaret Torn
- Michael O'Hare
- Göran Berndes
- Stefan Pfister

- Stuart Orr
- Brian Richter
- Pim Vugteveen
- Morteza Orang
- Daniel Harman



Kevin Fingerman UC Berkeley – Energy & Resources Group Roundtable on Sustainable Biofuels kfingerman@berkeley.edu

**Bioenergy Australia** November 25<sup>th</sup>, 2011

### How these calculations are done... FAO - Penman-Monteith Model



### Yield and ET by County



# GHG LCA is "easy"

• Life Cycle Inventory (LCI)



# GHG LCA is "easy"

• Life Cycle Inventory (LCI)



 Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA) – Global Warming Potential as characterization factor

1500 kg CO<sub>2</sub> x 1 = 1500 27 kg CH<sub>4</sub> x 25 = 675 = 3,963 kg CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent 6 kg N<sub>2</sub>0 x 298 = 1788

# Water LCA is HARDER



How many L of rainwater is one L of groundwater worth? Irrigation? One "unit" of eutrophication?...

# Water LCA is HARDER



How many L of rainwater is one L of groundwater worth? Irrigation? One "unit" of eutrophication?...

...Then there's the issue of where...

...and when...

